

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/005,324 | 12/07/2001 | Yang-Chang Wu | 33144-177127 | 9479 |
| 26694 75 | 590 09/24/2002 | | | |
| VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP P.O. BOX 34385 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | COVINGTON, RAYMOND K | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20043-9998 | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1625 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/005,324

Applicant(s)

Wι

Examiner

First Last

Art Unit 1234



| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | for Reply | | | | |
| THE | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | |
| - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | | | |
| - If NO _I - Failure - Any re | period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and will expire SIX (6) MON ne application to become A | ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 20, 2 | 2002 | <u> </u> | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act | ion is non-final. | | | |
| 3) 🗆 | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposi | tion of Claims | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> | | is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4 | a) Of the above, claim(s) | -10 | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | is/are allowed. | | |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> | | is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) 🗌 | Claim(s) | | is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) 🗌 | Claims | are sub | pject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Applica | tion Papers | | | | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) accepted or | b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | rawing(s) be held in | abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) | \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t | to this Office action. | • | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) 🗆 | All b)□ Some* c)□ None of: | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | |
| ; | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bures | au (PCT Rule 17.2) | (a)). | | |
| | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachme | | priority under 35 t | J.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121. | | |
| | tice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summan | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | |
| | ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Petent Application (PTO-152) | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/005,324

Art Unit: 1625

The following title is suggested: The term "Novel" in the title is objected to.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "selectively" in claim s 1-9 is a relative term that renders the claim indefinite. The term "selectively" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Said term renders the claim indefinite in that it would require undue experimentation to determine what falls within the operative scope of the invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

The claimed acetogenin derivatives are known in the art per se and are indeed naturally occurring as tacitly conceded by applicants. A substantially pure form would be inherent to one of ordinary skill in the art as it would be little more than a function of the yields and purities desired for the use intended. Obtaining this purity level by well-known purification techniques would have been an obvious expedient. Moreover, the term "substantially pure" without more is inherently vague. It reads on straining through cheesecloth for example.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McLaughlin et al US 5,955,497.

McLaughlin et al US '497 teach substantially pure acetogenin and their use as recited in the claims. See, for example column 1 lines 15+ to column 2 line 60. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number is (703) 308-4704. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, A. Rotman can be reached on (703) 308-0204. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7922 for regular communications and (703_ 308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Raymond Covington Examiner

Art Unit 1625

Covington/LR September 11, 2002

> ALAN L. ROTMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600